From: petern brandbusiness.net <petern@brandbusiness.net>

Sent: 17 April 2023 11:50

To: localreview

Cc: Joanna Nowell; Jamie MacFarlane; adriandunn@aol.com

Subject: RE: The Millers House Scotsmill Kailzie Peebles

22/01421/FUL and 23/00007/RREF

Attachments: GD L (9-)P101C- Site Location Plan and Site Layout (Block

Plan) A3 VWMaster v2022

v2023.pdf; GD L (9-)P102C - Site Layout 1-200 (Block Plan) A3 VWMaster

v2022 v2023.pdf

CAUTION: External Email

Dear Fiona

I apologise for the delay in my reply, as initially I felt that no new issues had been raised in relation to  $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{$ 

our review submission. However, upon reflection and in consultation with our architect, he has advised

that we should emphasise the issues raised in our review, in response to Mr Dods' additional comments:

4. Natural places. We are pleased that he deems that there would be no detrimental impact on the  $\,$ 

objectives or overall integrity of the locally designated landscape.

13 Sustainable transport. As our submission confirms, we have met with Roads, and identified how we

can comply with their specific concerns as far as the fence is concerned (we have suggested moving it to

satisfy safety concerns, and improving line of sight to satisfy visibility concerns). The justifiable reason

for this safer access is to forgo the existing vehicular access at the east end of the house, which we have

volunteered to do. The attached plan, and our review submission we believe address the points  ${\tt Mr}\ {\tt Dods}$ 

raises under '13'. Please note the revised fence position, changes to the access ramp, and the ability to  $\,$ 

access and exit from either direction.

14 Design quality and place. Please see notes re the re-design of the parking area, and the attached

drawing, which are fully discussed in our review submission. As far as the design of the fence is

concerned, although this will change, we are not sure how it gives a 'suburban' appearance, as it mirrors

the design of neighbour's fence opposite (the attached photographs refer).

16 Quality homes. We refer to the note above. Given that the design of the fence is an exact copy of

that of our neighbours, we respectfully suggest that the fence is not 'incongruous'. The re-design of the

parking area would not have a negative effect on the amenity of the area, as we believe the revised design we have submitted as a part of our 'appeal' addresses 'Roads concerns about the impact of the vehicular access in question on road safety.

In summary, we believe that having listened to the concerns of those at SBC re the fence and driveway, and addressed these in the revised plan, the proposed changes will make a positive contribution to road safety and the aesthetics of the location.

With best wishes.

Peter Nowell.

From: localreview <localreview@scotborders.gov.uk>

Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2023 9:49 AM

To: petern brandbusiness.net <petern@brandbusiness.net>

Subject: The Millers House Scotsmill Kailzie Peebles - 22/01421/FUL and

23/00007/RREF Importance: High

PLANNING APPLICATION The Millers House Scotsmill Kailzie Peebles Scottish Borders

EH45 9HS

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Formation of access and boundary fence

(retrospective)

APPLICANT: Mr And Mrs Peter Nowell

Further to my e-mail of 20 March 2023, with regard to the review of the above application,

please find attached the Planning Officers' statement on the impact of NPF4 on the above planning application.

As previously indicated, should you have comments to submit regarding this statement please

do so before the close of business on Wednesday, 12 April 2023.

I would further advise that consideration of the above review will be continued at the Local  $\,$ 

Review Body scheduled to be held on Monday, 15 May 2023.

Best Wishes

Fiona Henderson

Democratic Services Officer Democratic Services Resources

Council Headquarters

NEWTOWN ST BOSWELLS TD6 OSA

? DDI : 01835 826502

? fhenderson@scotborders.gov.uk

From: petern brandbusiness.net <petern@brandbusiness.net>

Sent: 21 March 2023 08:45

To: localreview <localreview@scotborders.gov.uk>

Cc: Adrian Dunn <adriandunn@aol.com>; Jamie <argyll-studio@macfarlane-

architecture.co.uk>; Jo
<jo22@hotmail.co.uk>

Subject: Re: The Millers House Scotsmill Kailzie Peebles - 22/01421/FUL

and 23/00007/RREF

CAUTION: External Email

Dear Ms Henderson

Many thanks for the clarification. I'll wait for the Planning Officer's statement before responding as you request. Yours sincerely. Peter Nowell.

Sent from my iPhone

On 21 Mar 2023, at 08:33, localreview <localreview@scotborders.gov.uk> wrote:

Good Morning Mr Nowell

My e-mail is requesting that you submit an NPF4 statement with regard to the impact of NPF4 on your application.

The Planning Officer has been asked to submit an NPF4 statement and has 14 days to

respond. I will then send you a copy of that NPF4 statement for you to comment on and  $\,$ 

you will have 14 days.

The NPF4 Framework is on the Scottish Government website. I provide the  $\operatorname{Admin}$ 

support for the Local Review Body and I am not a planner and therefore I am unable to  $\,$ 

advise on whether there are elements in NPF4 which directly affect your application

Regards

Fiona Henderson
Democratic Services Officer
Democratic Services
Resources
Council Headquarters

NEWTOWN ST BOSWELLS TD6 OSA

? DDI : 01835 826502

? fhenderson@scotborders.gov.uk

From: petern brandbusiness.net <petern@brandbusiness.net>

Sent: 20 March 2023 20:22

To: localreview <localreview@scotborders.gov.uk>

Cc: adriandunn@aol.com; Jamie MacFarlane <argyll-studio@macfarlane-

architecture.co.uk>; Joanna Nowell <jo22@hotmail.co.uk>

Subject: RE: The Millers House Scotsmill Kailzie Peebles - 22/01421/FUL

and

23/00007/RREF

CAUTION: External Email

Dear Ms Henderson

Should I have received a copy of the 'NPF4' from you as you are refer to it in your email?

If not, can you tell me where to find it? Can you confirm that there are elements in  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NPF4}}$ 

that are directly relevant to our review? Could you please let me know what these are?

Many thanks

Peter Nowell

From: localreview <localreview@scotborders.gov.uk>

Sent: Monday, March 20, 2023 1:23 PM

To: petern brandbusiness.net <petern@brandbusiness.net>

Subject: The Millers House Scotsmill Kailzie Peebles - 22/01421/FUL and

23/00007/RREF Importance: High

Dear Sir

I am writing to advise that at the Local Review Body Meeting held on Monday, 20

March 2023, a Procedural Hearing was held to determine the procedure to be followed as a result of the Scottish Government adopting, with effect from 13

February 2023, the National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4). As this supersedes

previous guidance and has been incorporated into the Local Development Plan,

we must, in terms of Section 25 of the Planning Act 1997, ensure that Planning

Decisions and Reviews take account of this new Framework.

To this end, comments on the impact of NPF4 on the above planning application

and subsequent review are being sought from the Officer and Applicant.

In ordesr that the application be continued to the earliest Local Review Body

Meeting, the further information must be provided by Tuesday, 4 April 2023 and

be sent to the Clerk of the Local Review Body by email to localreview@scotborders.gov.uk.

A copy of the NPF4 Statement from the Officer will be forwarded to you for comments and you will have a further 14 days on receipt to forward comments.

Should you require any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Best Wishes

Fiona Henderson
Democratic Services Officer
Democratic Services
Resources
Council Headquarters
NEWTOWN ST BOSWELLS TD6 0SA
? DDI : 01835 826502
? fhenderson@scotborders.gov.uk

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

This email and any files transmitted with it are privileged, confidential and subject to

copyright. Any unauthorised use or disclosure of any part of this email is prohibited. If

you are not the intended recipient please inform the sender immediately; you should

then delete the email and remove any copies from your system. The views or opinions

expressed in this communication may not necessarily be those of Scottish Borders

Council. Please be advised that Scottish Borders Council's incoming and outgoing email

is subject to regular monitoring and any email may require to be disclosed by the

Council under the provisions of the Freedom of Information (Scotland)  $\mbox{Act}$  2002 .

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\* This email and any files transmitted with it are privileged, confidential and

subject to copyright. Any unauthorised use or disclosure of any part of this email

is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient please inform the sender  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1$ 

immediately; you should then delete the email and remove any copies from your

system. The views or opinions expressed in this communication may not

necessarily be those of Scottish Borders Council. Please be advised that Scottish

Borders Council's incoming and outgoing email is subject to regular monitoring

and any email may require to be disclosed by the Council under the provisions of

the Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002 .

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

any files transmitted with it are privileged, confidential and subject to copyright. Any unauthorised use

or disclosure of any part of this email is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient please inform

the sender immediately; you should then delete the email and remove any copies from your system.

The views or opinions expressed in this communication may not necessarily be those of Scottish Borders

Council. Please be advised that Scottish Borders Council's incoming and outgoing email is subject to

regular monitoring and any email may require to be disclosed by the Council under the provisions of the

Freedom of Information (Scotland) Act 2002 .

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*